

Unlocking the Full Potential of WPE to Build a Successful Embedded Product

Mario Sánchez Prada

mario@igalia.com



About me

- **CS Engineer**, partner of **Igalia**
- **Involvement** in some **Open Source communities**
 - e.g. Chromium, WebKit, GNOME
- Other **work** done in the past:
 - Linux-based OSs (i.e. Endless OS, Litl OS)
 - Maemo (Hildon Application Manager)
 - Samsung Smart TV platform



Currently **coordinating** Igalia's **WebKit team**



About Igalia

- Specialized **Open Source consultancy**, founded in 2001
- **Fully remote**, HQ in **A Coruña** (Spain). **Flat structure**
- **Top contributors** to all the main **Web Engines**
 - WebKit, Chromium, Gecko and Servo
- **Active contributor to other areas and OSS projects**
 - V8, SpiderMonkey, JSC, LLVM, Node.js, GStreamer, Mesa, Linux Kernel...
- **Members of several working groups:**
 - W3C, WHATWG, WPT, TC39, OpenJS, Test262, Khronos...



Outline

1. Why do Web engines matter in embedded devices?
2. Common pitfalls using WPE for embedded devices
3. Benefits of a tighter relationship with upstream
4. Best practices for successful integration
5. Real-world case studies
6. Wrapping up
7. Q&A



Why do Web engines matter in embedded devices?



What is a Web engine?

Software component that leverages the power of the **Web Platform**

- **Fetches** HTML / CSS / JavaScript content from multiple sources
- **Interprets** the web content to create an internal representation
- **Produces a result** that users can **interact with**
- It's an **extremely flexible platform**. Examples:
 - Textual and non-textual content
 - Multimedia playback
 - Fully fledged applications

Most popular Web engines:



What is WebKit?



- Open Source **Web engine**, released under **permissive licenses**
- **Main features:**
 - 🕸 **Complete implementation** of the Web Platform
 - 🚀 **Performance** and **stability**
 - 🔒 **Privacy** and **security**
 - 🔌 **Embeddable** as a top priority (i.e. stable public API)
- **Cross-platform support:**
 - **Desktop & Mobile:** Mac, iOS, Windows, Linux, Android (WIP)
 - **Embedded devices:** set-top-boxes, video game consoles, in-flight entertainment, smart home appliances, GPS devices, digital signage...

<https://webkit.org>



WebKit Ports



- **WebKit port**: adaptation of WebKit to a specific platform
- **Official WebKit Ports** (*upstream* ports):
 - **Mac**: Safari, Apple Mail, iTunes, App Store...
 - **iOS**: every browser on iOS devices (including Chrome)
 - **Windows**: Microsoft Playwright, Playstation SDK
 - **Playstation**: Playstation 4 & Playstation 5
 - **Linux**: WebKitGTK (GTK apps) and WPE (embedded devices)
 - **Common parts**: GLib, libsoup (networking), GStreamer (multimedia)...
 - **Key differences**: graphics stack, input handling. Different use cases

<https://docs.webkit.org/Ports/Introduction.html>



What is WPE?



- **WPE is a WebKit port optimized for embedded devices**
 - Big focus on **flexibility, performance** and **security**
 - **Backends-based architecture** and **minimal** set of **dependencies**
 - **Low memory** and **storage footprint**
 - **HW-accelerated graphics** and **multimedia**
 - **Actively maintained** upstream (e.g. up-to-date security fixes)

WPE does not rely on any **UI Toolkit** and can also be useful for **less conventional use cases** (e.g. server-side rendering, headless mode...)

<https://docs.webkit.org/Ports/Introduction.html>



WPE-based products

Some **examples of use cases** we are aware of:

- Set-Top-Boxes
- Smart home appliances
- GPS navigation devices
- Video/Audio conferencing
- Digital Signage
- HiFi sound systems
- Audio streaming
- Headless server-side rendering
- QA and testing
- ...



Why do Web engines matter in embedded devices?

- **Strategic role in the software stack** of embedded devices
 - Rendering, networking, security sandbox, media, I/O, accessibility...
- The **Web platform allows building all sorts of applications**
 - Flexibility for designing, implementing and testing your product
- **Known development stack**
 - Massive pool of web developers that could implement applications
- **Useful to implement all kind of products**
 - Smart home, In-Vehicle/Flight Entertainment, digital signage...

However, **using a Web engine *effectively*** is **more than just fixing bugs...**



Common pitfalls using WPE for embedded devices



Common pitfalls using WPE for embedded devices

- **Treating** WPE as a "**black box**" Web engine
- **Infrequent rebases** and **heavy patching downstream**
- **Delayed feedback cycles** with upstream
- **Not aligning product goals** with upstream

All these situations create **technical debt**, make **integration harder**, affect **development efficiency** and **increase maintenance cost**



WPE as a “Black Box” Web Engine

- **Problem:** Treat WPE blindly as a plug-and-play black box
- **Risks:**
 - Missed opportunity for optimizations
 - Duplicated effort solving issues already handled upstream
- **Possible solutions:**
 - Allocate time for developers to explore the WPE stack
 - Allocate time for developers to contribute back upstream

Why this matters: Properly understanding the Web engine turns WPE into a **strategic advantage** rather than into a hidden liability



Infrequent Rebases and Heavy Patching Downstream

- **Problem:** Downstream patches accumulate, delta becomes too big
- **Risks:**
 - Integration becomes problematic and time-consuming
 - Development work often too focused on bug-fixing
 - Reduced capacity to work on strategic features
- **Possible solutions:**
 - Rebase against upstream as often as possible
 - Contribute well-scoped patches promptly
 - Ensure good downstream practices (e.g. drop patches already upstream)

Why this matters: Frequent syncing avoids complex rebases,
improves the integration process and prevents security problems



Delayed Feedback with Upstream

- **Problem:** Feedback reported to upstream is delayed for too long
- **Risks:**
 - Reduced ability to get proper support from the community
 - Often leads to duplicated work (e.g. issues already fixed upstream)
- **Possible solutions:**
 - Engage in discussions with the community in public channels
 - Report reproducible bugs immediately (i.e. including reduced test cases)

Why this matters: Timely feedback **improves your relationship with upstream** and **reduces the chance of duplicated efforts**



Misaligned Product Goals vs. Upstream Roadmap

- **Problem:** Different goals complicate integration and WPE evolution
- **Risks:**
 - Building bespoke features creates forks that are costly to maintain
 - Forks often require patching in non-upstreamable ways
- **Possible solutions:**
 - Join roadmap discussions upstream to discuss your use-cases
 - Contribute back upstream whenever possible
 - Fund or work on needed features if necessary





Why this matters: Alignment **maximizes efficiency** from integrators and **keeps products on a realistic** and maintainable **upgrade path**



Benefits of a tighter relationship with upstream







Stability & Security

-  Immediate access to upstream bug fixes
-  Faster mitigation of security vulnerabilities (CVEs)
-  Early testing before public disclosures
-  Lower risk of emergency patching







Performance

-  Upstream optimizations and performance improvements
-  Changes verified upstream reduce integration risks
-  Opportunity to prioritize optimizations relevant to your hardware
-  Clear visibility into future improvements via upstream roadmaps







Maintainability

-  Smaller delta with upstream reduces patch maintenance
-  Easier adoption of new WPE releases
-  Predictable long-term maintenance planning
-  Lower ongoing maintenance costs







Alignment

-  Upstream becomes aware of what's relevant for your products
-  Prioritization upstream aligns better with your business goals
-  Shared investment in common features with other stakeholders
-  Build credibility and influence within the WPE community



Community Support

-  Access to upstream developers and domain experts
-  Faster identification and resolution of complex issues
-  Shared knowledge base reduces isolated debugging efforts
-  Build internal expertise via collaboration with upstream



Best practices for successful integration



Open Communication

- **Recommendations:**
 - **Transparency:** Share progress, blockers, and roadmap updates
 - **Share goals:** Collaboratively define goals for your platform integration.
Discuss non-standard requirements early to find the best solutions for you
 - **Engage with the community:** e.g. code reviews, general feedback...
- **Benefits:**
 - Prevents divergence with upstream that can complicate maintenance
 - Accelerates problem resolution in collaboration with the WPE maintainers
 - Builds long-term relationships with the upstream community



Frequent Rebasing

- **Recommendations:**
 - **Keep smaller deltas and rebase as often as possible:** avoid complex integrations and potential bugs caused by misalignment with upstream.
 - Faster access to features & fixes (e.g. security fixes)
 - Simpler debugging (e.g. easier bisecting)
 - **Development vs. product branches:**
 - *Tip of Tree (ToT)*: Use as baseline for ongoing feature development
 - *Stable*: Base product releases on stable upstream tags
- **Benefits:**
 - Minimizes maintenance effort (i.e. lower technical debt)
 - Simplifies integration of new releases
 - Enables faster innovation



Contribute back upstream

- **Recommendations:**
 - **Use issue trackers:** Document bugs, enhancements, and discussions
 - **Contribute merge requests:** Enable reviews and feedback from the start
 - **Document decisions:** Provide context for design and architecture choices
- **Benefits:**
 - Higher quality of patches through open reviews
 - Faster identification of possible alternative solutions
 - Shared ownership of the codebase



Upstream-Friendly Commit Practices

- **Recommendations:**
 - **Small, atomic changes:** Easier and faster to review, test, and backport
 - **Upstream-first mindset:** i.e. avoid *hacks*, always consider upstreaming
 - **Clear commit messages:** Explain *what* a patch does and *why* it's needed
- **Benefits:**
 - Simplifies the review process and increases acceptance rates
 - Improves troubleshooting, bugfixing and debugging
 - Builds trust and collaboration with WPE maintainers



Test Automation and CI




- **Recommendations:**
 - **Regression detection:** Automated regression and performance testing
 - **Pre-integration testing:** Validate patches before merging
 - **Upstream tracking:** Automatic testing of upstream snapshots with your downstream patches to detect early possible integration conflicts
- **Benefits:**
 - Prevents breakage caused by upstream changes
 - Enables developing with confidence and fewer regressions
 - Ensures good stability and quality of the end product



Real-world case studies



Real-world case studies

-  **Case Study #1:** company that maintained a big fork of WPE
-  **Case Study #2:** company that stayed close to upstream
-  **Case Study #3:** companies working exclusively upstream





Case Study #1: company that maintained a big fork of WPE

- **Context:**
 - Lots of downstream-specific changes on top of upstream WPE
 - Uses WPE upstream stable releases as base to add their changes on top
 - Rarely contributes patches upstream, often not following best practices
 - Integrates newer versions once every 1-2 years (i.e. skips some of them)
- **Challenges faced:**
 - Painful integration process when moving to newer versions
 - Difficult to innovate and keep up with security patches
 - Difficult to obtain good support from the community
 - Complex alignment of priorities with upstream

Too much effort devoted to **maintaining the fork and fixing bugs**,
insufficient allocation of resources to feature development



👍 Case Study #2: company that stayed close to upstream

- **Context:**
 - Downstream changes only for patches not yet upstreamed, or too specific
 - Development on the main branch, stable branches for stabilization only
 - Contributing patches upstream is part of their development process
 - Rebases early and often (e.g. every 2-3 weeks) enabled by automated CI
- **Success story:**
 - Delta with upstream kept to a minimum, integration becomes easier
 - No duplicated efforts, no unnecessary workarounds or hacks
 - Product stabilization aligns with upstream stabilization
 - Upstream-first mentality helps align business goals with upstream

Some **downstream work** still needed but **limited to specific needs**.

Better alignment with upstream and **more time for feature work**





Case Study #3: companies working exclusively upstream

- **Context:**
 - Some companies don't require downstream work (i.e. can work upstream)
 - Many types of projects possible: implementing a Web spec, performance improvements, new APIs, support for more platforms or more use cases...
 - Great to implement complex features (e.g. CSS Grid, new SVG engine...)
- **Benefits of working directly upstream:**
 - No downstream delta, simpler integration (e.g. product stabilization)
 - Contributing back upstream is a natural part of the process.
 - Upstream won't break your feature (i.e. full integration with upstream CI)
 - Improving technology benefits everyone while supporting specific needs

Ideal way of collaboration from a community standpoint, full transparency and engagement upstream **maximizes efficiency**



Wrapping up



How to Use WPE Effectively

- ☒ **Engage with upstream** as much as possible
 - Align your shareable goals with the next upstream releases
 - **Contribute back upstream**, discuss shared goals in **public forums**
- ☒ **Develop your products** on top of the **upstream development branch** and rely on **stable branches for product stabilization** only
 - Update stable releases in products but continue development in the ToT
 - Discard features that are not stable for your next releases
- ☒ Maintain and evolve **automated CI tailored to your product**
 - Automatically **look out for regressions**, have a policy to handle them
 - Automatically **check upstream versions** using your CI
 - Automate **performance testing**



In a nutshell...

Consider **WPE** an **Open Source** platform for the long term and **embrace upstream collaboration** as much as possible 🙌

PS: Do not treat WPE as "just another vendor package" 🙏



Thanks!



Q&A



